

Section C Babergh DC Raydon Suffolk

The following points have been raised with NG during their targeted consultation - no response or reason for changing or how the choice was made for any of the following points

- 1) Raydon Wings Airfield - No reasonable response for the request to move the cable sealing in compound N to pylon JC26 on the grounds of aircraft safety as per CAA guidelines.
 - 2) No response or reason given for the choice of B1070 access from A12 when a better route affecting fewer people is available using J32 from the A12 along the N side of the disused railway from apex St Mary to Hadleigh which utilises mainly field headlands and any cross field path is along the line of the old trackbed and therefore poorer soil.
 - 3) No response or reason given on moving the materials lay down area from a brownfield site within the Notley Enterprise Park to a greenfield site within clear view of the Dedham Vale National Landscape that was chosen as the construction compound. In fact the targeted consultation response from many was the other way round - move the construction compound to the material lay down area which is a brownfield site and already partially screened by mature trees. I believe this to be contrary to Holford Rules
 - 4) No feedback or response given about issues raised with wildlife surveys where recent eye witness observations have been ignored
 - 5) Temporary Footpath Closures between the villages of Hopton St Mary (Bacons Green) and Raydon No risk assessment or weight has been given to the dangers caused by closures these footpaths that will result in people being forced to walk on busy roads that have no footways
 - 6) The Gunning Principles have not been observed from the beginning. NO choices of original route or pylon style/ undergrounding has ever been presented. In face NG have confirmed that no choice as ever given by stating in their initial consultations they were 'back checking' their choices.
- Thank you for the oppertunty to comment